

### REMARKS

This paper is responsive to a Non-Final Office action dated November 3, 2006. Claims 1-33 are pending. Claims 8, 9, 21, 22, and 28-33 are withdrawn from consideration. The drawings are objected to by the Office. Claim 26 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph and second paragraph. Claims 1-7, 10-20 and 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,988,665 to Neumaier et al.

### Specification

The specification is amended to correct typographical errors.

### Drawings Objections

The drawings stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Claim 26 is canceled. Applicant respectfully maintains that the drawings satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a).

### Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 26 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 26 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 26 is canceled.

### Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-7, 10-12, 23-25, and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,988,665 to Neumaier et al. (hereinafter, "Neumaier"). Claim 1 is amended to clarify the invention. Regarding amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully maintains that Neumaier fails to teach or suggest

that the surface rotational portion comprises a plurality of substantially flat sides,

as required by amended claim 1. Since Neumaier, alone or in combination with other references of record, fails to teach or suggest all limitations of claim 1, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of claim 1, and all claims dependent thereon, be withdrawn.

Claims 8 and 9 are canceled.

*Allowable Subject Matter*

Claims 13-20 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant appreciates the indication of allowable subject matter. Claims 13, 16, and 20 are amended consistent with amendments to claim 1. Applicant believes that claims 13-20 depend from an allowable base claim and are allowable for at least this reason.

*Additional Remarks*

Claim 7 is amended to correct a typographical error.

New claim 34 is added. Applicant believes that new claim 34 depends from an allowable base claim and is allowable for at least this reason.

In summary, all claims are believed to be allowable over the art of record, and a Notice of Allowance to that effect is respectfully solicited. Nonetheless, if any issues remain that could be more efficiently handled by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at the number listed below.

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being

- ☐ deposited with the US Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail and addressed as shown above.  
☐ facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office.  
☒ transmitted using the USPTO electronic filing system.

  
Nicole Teitler Cave

2/2/07  
Date

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL: \_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted,



Nicole Teitler Cave, Reg. No. 54,021

Attorney for Applicant(s)

(512) 338-6315 (direct)

(512) 338-6300 (main)

(512) 338-6301 (fax)